MODELING SCAR EFFECTS IN ELECTRICAL SPINAL CORD STIMULATION

BY EDWARD BROWN

SINCE THE 1960s, spinal cord stimulation (SCS) has been used to alleviate chronic back and leg pain. The process involves surgically implanting a series of electrodes, which are used to apply electrical potentials directly to the spine (see Figure 1). Although approximately 30,000 such procedures are performed each year, there is still not a precise understanding of SCS’s mode of action. SCS somehow interferes with the human pain signaling circuitry. In the past 15 years, researchers have begun to develop a more detailed understanding of the effects of this stimulation. What makes the method attractive is that it is known to have beneficial results without many of the side effects of long-term pharmacological treatment.

One of the phenomena associated with this treatment is that it remains effective for many years, although over the course of time the stimulation generally has to be reprogrammed to modify the original parameters. As early as four to six weeks after the electrode is implanted, scarring occurs at the interface of the electrode and the surrounding tissue. Paradoxically, while this helps keep the paddle that holds the electrodes securely in place, it alters the electrical characteristics of the system, so that the stimulation has to be reprogrammed. The reprogramming is generally done through trial and error.

Research into this phenomenon was performed by Jeffrey Arle, a neurosurgeon with a degree in computational neuroscience; Jay Shils, who has a background in electrical engineering and computational neurophysiology; and Kris Carlson, who has become an expert in the use of COMSOL software. They are all with the Neuromodulation Group at Lahey Clinic in Burlington, Mass., and have concluded a study based on the hypothesis that the formation of relatively higher-resistance scar tissue alters the impedance seen by the implanted electrodes, which in turn alters the pattern of the electric field distribution. It was their thesis that a 3-D mathematical model could be used to accurately predict these changes and define the necessary cor-

FIGURE 1: X-ray image of a stimulator electrode array on the spinal cord for treatment of chronic back pain.
are either activated or not, based on the strength of electrical stimulation, which is governed by the gradient of the potential field. This is significant because it’s the axons that carry the pain control signals to the brain.

The team wanted to learn just how the implanted electrodes treated the pain. So part of the process was modeling the circuitry in the spinal cord and the effects of the electricity on that circuitry. In order to get to that stage, they had to understand exactly what in the spinal cord actually gets stimulated. That’s where the COMSOL software came in—to “model the electric fields from the electrodes themselves and all of the tissue characteristics they pass through,” says Arle.

The spinal cord is essentially floating in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), which is in turn surrounded by a tube-like membrane called the dura. The stimulating electrodes sit outside the dura, which is tough and electrically resistive. The different materials have very different conductivities, that of the dura being low and that of the CSF being a couple of orders of magnitude higher.

To study the electrical environment, the team created a finite element model of the gray and white matter in the cord, dura, cerebrospinal fluid, epidural tissue, scar tissue, and stimulator electrodes. The gradients of the system are affected by the relative conductivities of these different materials.

One reason an accurate model is required is that the potential field can vary along the length of the spinal cord. It’s possible that at one point there isn’t a high enough potential gradient to generate an activation potential at the neuron, while 0.5 or 1 millimeter away you may have that critical gradient. These variations can occur for a number of different reasons. The electrode geometry may be different; the material may not be uniform, for instance, the dura itself.
might not be uniform across the cord; or there may be material such as scarring that could give one area of the cord a higher resistivity than another. There are also variations of the potential field in the cerebrospinal fluid along different parts of the cord.

“Using SolidWorks and COMSOL together made it very easy to change the geometry with SolidWorks and study the resulting changes in conductivities and permittivities with COMSOL,” says Shils. “This meant that the output of the simulation could be spatially added to a model that we have in-house of a neural network. This gives us a more accurate understanding of where the action potentials are occurring in the spinal cord, and given some of the complexities, it was nice to be able to show that a little change in one place could really shift the energy gradient.”

Carlson explains how the team uses simulation in its work: “We decided to do very sophisticated geometry, much more so than anything that had been done in the past. Not only 3-D as opposed to 2-D, but a much more accurate profile of the spinal cord. In the model, we set all the material parameters. We can play with those—mainly the conductivities, and then the physics—we change the voltages, pulse widths, and frequencies of the various electrodes. So for the scar study that the two doctors designed a year or so ago, we have an incredibly sophisticated geometry. There are 64 different pieces of scar and 64 electrode positions scattered on the surface of the spine, and each of those is very easy to manipulate in the software. Another great feature is that after we run the simulation, we perform a huge amount of post-processing. With the graphic features, we can run all different kinds of filtering criteria and also export the data and perform further post-processing in tools dedicated for the purpose” (See Figure 3).

“The formation of scar tissue changes the playing field,” says Arle. “Usually, the programmer is left not knowing what the scar looks like exactly and trying to move the stimulation around to get the best treatment for the patient. Now, by adding only a little bit to the model, we can begin to see the distortion of the electrical fields caused by the scar formation.” The procedure is proving to be extremely effective in immediately relieving pain once the programming is on target (See Figure 4).

“It’s very important that you understand what you’re doing with COMSOL Multiphysics,” says Shils. “You have to understand the physics of what you’re using—why you’re using a certain model as opposed to another. The way you choose the meshing, which COMSOL allows you to do with great flexibility and precision, is a critical part of the analysis. You choose the proper elements and then figure out what the edges are supposed to be. The next step is to choose the appropriate equations, starting points, and meshing. Mesh quality is of particular importance, especially around the curves of the axons, which is where most of the activation is located. If mesh resolution is inadequate, we could miss the high points of the field and gradients.”

**WHAT'S NEXT?**

**BY IMPORTING** COMSOL data showing which nerve fibers fired into the group’s own neural circuitry simulation software, they intend to unravel how SCS produces relief from pain. Arle sums up his feelings about the project this way: “In biological systems in human anatomy and physiology systems, there’s not a huge amount of work done on this kind of thing, as opposed to more engineering-based projects. You really need to understand the anatomy, the physiology, and the neuroscience, and then ramp this up to understand the mathematics and the physics. People are beginning to realize that you need to take this approach to really understand what we’re doing.”
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**FIGURE 4: Slice plot and contour values of electric field projecting into the spinal cord.**

Top: Cross section of electrode array on the spinal cord. Left: Control with no scar. Right: Scar under left electrode reduces proper field symmetry. Contrary to expectation, scar tissue can result in higher field values projecting into the spinal cord and too much stimulation.